

# Proof Theory of Classical Logic

Its Basics with an Emphasis on Quantitative Aspects

Short course at Notre Dame

Jan 29: Steps in Cut Elimination



## Outline

Depth of formulas and proofs, cut rank

Some technical lemmas

The essential lemmas: inversion and reduction



## Depth and cut rank, regularization

### Addenda

From now on, we assume the following modification of the calculus GK: principal formulas of *initial sequent* must be *atomic*.

### Definition

A **proof** is **regular** if no variable is simultaneously free and bound in it, and if moreover, an eigenvariable of a generalization inference never occurs outside the subproof of  $\mathcal{P}$  generated by that inference.

### Definition

**Depth of a proof**  $\mathcal{P}$  is denoted  $d(\mathcal{P})$ . **Depth**  $d(\varphi)$  **of a formula**  $\varphi$  is depth of  $\varphi$  written as a tree. **(Cut) rank**  $r(\mathcal{P})$  **of a proof**  $\mathcal{P}$  is  $\sup\{1 + d(\varphi); \varphi \text{ a cut formula in } \mathcal{P}\}$ .

### Lemma 1 (regularization)

For every proof of a regular sequent there exists a regular proof of the same sequent having the same depth and rank.



## Inversion

### Lemma 4 (inversion)

(a) In each line of the following table, if the left sequent has a regular proof, then the right sequent (both right sequents) has a proof of same or lower cut rank and depth:

$\langle \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi \rightarrow \psi \rangle$	$\langle \Gamma, \varphi \Rightarrow \Delta, \psi \rangle$
$\langle \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi \& \psi \rangle$	$\langle \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi \rangle, \langle \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \psi \rangle$
$\langle \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi \vee \psi \rangle$	$\langle \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi, \psi \rangle$
$\langle \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \neg\varphi \rangle$	$\langle \Gamma, \varphi \Rightarrow \Delta \rangle$
$\langle \Gamma, \varphi \rightarrow \psi \Rightarrow \Delta \rangle$	$\langle \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi \rangle, \langle \Gamma, \psi \Rightarrow \Delta \rangle$
$\langle \Gamma, \varphi \& \psi \Rightarrow \Delta \rangle$	$\langle \Gamma, \varphi, \psi \Rightarrow \Delta \rangle$
$\langle \Gamma, \varphi \vee \psi \Rightarrow \Delta \rangle$	$\langle \Gamma, \varphi \Rightarrow \Delta \rangle, \langle \Gamma, \psi \Rightarrow \Delta \rangle$
$\langle \Gamma, \neg\varphi \Rightarrow \Delta \rangle$	$\langle \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi \rangle$ .

(b) If  $\mathcal{P}$  is a regular proof of  $\langle \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \forall x\varphi \rangle$  (or  $\langle \Gamma, \exists x\varphi \Rightarrow \Delta \rangle$ ) and if no variable of term  $t$  is generalized or quantified in  $\mathcal{P}$ , then  $\langle \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi_x(t) \rangle$  (or  $\langle \Gamma, \varphi_x(t) \Rightarrow \Delta \rangle$ , respectively) has a proof of same or lower cut rank and depth.



## Substitution and weakening

### Lemma 2 (substitution)

Assume that  $z$  is a variable that is not generalized in a proof  $\mathcal{P}$ , and no variable of a term  $t$  is generalized or quantified in  $\mathcal{P}$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}_x(t)$ , the result of substitution of  $t$  for all occurrences of  $z$  in  $\mathcal{P}$ , is a proof.

### Lemma 3 (weakening)

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a proof of a sequent  $\langle \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \rangle$ , let no variable free in  $\Gamma \cup \Delta$  be generalized in  $\mathcal{P}$ . Then adding  $\Pi$  to all antecedents, and adding  $\Lambda$  to all succedents, yields a proof.

## Reduction

### Lemma 5 (reduction)

Consider a regular proof  $\mathcal{P}_0$ :

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \triangleleft \mathcal{P}_1 \triangleright \\ \langle \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \theta \rangle \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \triangleleft \mathcal{P}_2 \triangleright \\ \langle \Pi, \theta \Rightarrow \Lambda \rangle \end{array}}{\langle \Gamma, \Pi \Rightarrow \Delta, \Lambda \rangle}$$

such that  $r(\mathcal{P}_1) \leq d(\theta)$  and  $r(\mathcal{P}_2) \leq d(\theta)$ . Then  $\langle \Gamma, \Pi \Rightarrow \Delta, \Lambda \rangle$  has a proof of rank at most  $d(\theta)$  and depth at most  $d(\mathcal{P}_1) + d(\mathcal{P}_2)$ .

